



The following data were collected from WACTE-member institutions in regard to [HB 1028](#), sponsored by Representatives Bergquist and McCaslin. The purpose was to gather information on the estimated costs of eliminating and replacing the edTPA summative assessment for teacher candidates in Washington State.

What follows is a report from 22 responding institutions.

What type of educator preparation program do you represent?



Cost Estimate to Replace edTPA, reported by programs

	Annual Completers	Estimated Initial Cost	Estimated Annual Cost	Comments re: Cost
Public, 4-year IHE	200	\$100,000	\$60,000	
Public, 4-year IHE	55	\$5,000	\$15,000	
Public, 4-year IHE	45	\$40,000	\$40,000	Need to consider validity/reliability
Public, 4-year IHE	350	\$55,000	\$65,000	
Public, 4-year IHE	210	\$150,000	\$50,000	
Public, 4-year IHE	300	\$242,000 – \$292,000	\$210,000	
Public, 4-year IHE	50	\$172,485	\$96,000	
Public, 4-year IHE	80	1.0 FTE	.5 FTE	More than edTPA because of scoring
Public, 4-year IHE	200	\$50,000	\$5,000	
Public, 2-year CC	18	Unsure	Unsure	
Public, 2-year CC	24	\$20,000	\$10,000	
Public, 2-year CC	22	Unsure	Unsure	New program
Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	30	Unsure	Unsure	Significant cost; unsure how to measure
Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	65	Unsure	Unsure	
Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	220	Unsure	1.5-2 FTE	
Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	100	\$2,000	\$2,000	

Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	180	\$20,000	\$50,000	
Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	130	\$55,000	\$72,000	Faculty, district partners, scoring, data collection
Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	120	\$55,000	\$35,000	Administration, training, and scoring
Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	30	Unsure	Unsure	
Private, non-profit, 4-year IHE	30	\$15,000	\$15,000	
Non-traditional organization	60	\$30,000	\$75,000	
Total estimated costs		\$1,061,485.00 + FTE	\$800,000.00 + FTE	

Open-ended Comments

Opposed to HB 1028

- This is not the right time; we are in a budgetary and health crisis
- Multiple measures**, made permanent, addresses issue with edTPA
- Eliminates ability to compare programs as required by HB 1139
- Cost is prohibitive, especially for programs already facing budget cuts
- Equity issues “may abound when different programs are tasked with creating their own assessments”
- Replacing the edTPA will cost time (years) and money for each program

**6 of the 19 open-ended comments specifically mentioned multiple measures as a helpful mitigation tool in keeping the edTPA. When asked directly about the efficacy of the multiple measures pilot, at least half of the responding institutions felt confident that issues of equity were addressed by implementing the program permanently.

One of the criticisms of the edTPA surrounds issues of equity in access and scoring. This criticism was addressed by a pilot program of multiple measure to consider other evidence for candidates within one standard deviation of the cut score on the edTPA.

In the opinion of your institution, has this pilot program provided relief in regard to issues of equity to candidates?



In Support* of HB 1028

- edTPA feels “high stakes and dehumanizing”
- Costly for candidates
- Difficult during COVID

*6 of the 19 open-ended comments specifically commented in support of HB 1028 (3 public IHE, 3 private)