WACTE Evaluation of Meetings: October 2016 and January 2017 Summary of Results

Question	October 2016 (<i>N</i> = 21)	January 2017 (N = 28)
1: Overall, I found the meeting to be	48% Beneficial; 52% Very beneficial	63% Beneficial; 37% Very beneficial
2: I found the meeting to be	52% Organized and Structured 43% Very Organized and Structured 5% Poorly Organized	58% Organized and Structured 42% Very Organized and Structured
3: The agenda items were:	43% Relevant to my work 57% Very relevant to my work	66% Relevant to my work 34% Very relevant to my work
4: What went well?	Role alike group meetings (9) Updates/report outs (5) Large group (3) STI (2) Opportunities to interact (2) Would like more time to problem solve (1)	Role alike group meetings (13) External Organization Updates (8) K-12 Panel (6) Positive comments (e.g., engaging, relevant, well done, informative, great conversations, etc.) (6) Strategic Planning (3) Social (3) Legislative Report (1) Concerns (sitting too long, new membership process, updates to WACTE info.) (3)

5: What	Attend remotely (1)	Dual roles at different times/rotate (3)
improvements	Able to move around more often (1)	More/continue Strategic Planning (5)
do you	Keep presentations shorter (1)	More interactive & integration (4)
suggest for	More opportunities for role alike	Offsite access (2)
future	interaction (1)	Legislative breakfast change format (2)
meetings?	Rotate role alike meetings to attend more	Business portion too long (1)
	than one (1)	Keep more on task (1)
	Electronic presentations to website (1)	Membership process changes (4)
	Better organized small group discussions	Continue: updates, social (2)
	(1)	
	2 day vs 1 day meetings (1)	
	Oct (curriculum focus); Jan (legislative	
	focus); Apr (data focus) (1)	
6. What	To a many mostings	La siglativa un datas (stata & DOE) (4)
6: What	Too many meetings	Legislative updates (state & DOE) (4)
agenda items	Need time to solve issues	edTPA (3)
or topics	Shorted presentations	Interesting content (e.g., retention) (1)
would you	Invite ERDC	Indicator model
like to be	Indicator model	K-12 partnerships Accreditation models
addressed at	AACTE, political changes TELC	
future		Updates
meetings or	Higher Ed Act	Pro-cert Polo alike collaboration
summits?	Keep OSPI and PESB reports	Role alike collaboration Minoritized community groups (2)
	One IHE reports to big group/meeting	Minoritized community groups (3)
	Questions from group for PESB	Strategic planning (1)
	Agenda for role alike groups and large	Integrity of teacher education
	group earlier	Meeting teacher shortage
		Grant opportunities

		Highlight IHE successes
7: Additional Comments	Thanks ((3) Great work (4) Productive (1) Include all role alike groups in discussions as needed (e.g., Indicator Model should include all groups, not just Deans/Das)	Thanks (5); great work; (national) ambiguity surrounding education; extremely helpful; meaningful opportunities; great hospitality; great social; WACTE needs to cultivate more leadership; communicate with SBCTC (2); east/west/rural/urban divide still exists in WACTE
8: I am a	67% Administrator	50% Dean/Director/Coordinator
(choose all	33% Faculty	29% Faculty member
that apply)	14% Staff	21% Data Administrator
Note: options		21% Cert Officer
differed Oct		34% Field Director
vs Jan		

Note: Numbers in parentheses represent the number of times that item/topic was mentioned in the comments sections of the surveys.